Japan-US Workshop on Heavy Ion Fusion and High Energy Density Physics

"Academia Hall", Utsunomiya University 28-30 September 2005



+

+

"Development of a shock-produced plasma target for nonlinear beam-plasma interaction experiments"

Yoshiyuki Oguri

Research Laboratory for Nuclear Reactors, Tokyo Institute of Technology



Beam-plasma interaction experiments with non-ideal plasma targets are being planned at RLNR/Tokyo-Tech.

Experiments performed so far using Tokyo-Tech 1.7 MV tandem accelerator:





lin_{ear}

e.g.)

nonlinea

-dE/dx

Nonlinear effects are expected for projectile stopping in dense ($n_e \approx 10^{22}$ cm⁻³) plasmas in fusion targets .

- Ideal (dilute hot) plasmas → "Linear stopping":
 - Induced decelerating field $E_{ind} \propto q$
- Non-ideal (dense cold) plasmas \rightarrow "Nonlinear stopping":
 - Induced decelerating field $E_{ind} \propto q^m (m < 1)$

$$- -dE/dx = q \times E_{ind} \qquad q \times q^{m} = q^{1+m} = q^{n} (1 < n < 2)$$





Projectile-plasma coupling constant γ is defined for projectiles moving in the plasma.

Perturbations to the plasma electrons are possible only for the collision parameters b smaller than screening length λ :

$$b < \lambda = \frac{\langle v_r \rangle}{\omega_p}, \quad \langle v_r \rangle = v_{th} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{v_{proj}}{v_{th}}\right)^2}$$

 $-\langle v_r \rangle$: averaged relative velocity If *b* is smaller than the classical collision diameter b_0 , the perturbation is strong

enough to induce nonlinear effects:

$$\frac{qe^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 b_0} = m\langle v_r \rangle^2, \quad \text{or} \quad b_0 = \frac{qe^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 m\langle v_r \rangle^2}.$$

The projectile-plasma coupling strength is estimated by the critical ratio $\gamma \equiv b_0/\lambda$:

Plasma coupling constant

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{b_0}{\lambda} = \frac{qe^2\omega_p}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 m \langle v_r \rangle^3} = \frac{1}{\int_{1}}$$

- Numerical calculation by a particle code
 - \rightarrow Nonlinear effects are clearly observable for $\gamma > \approx 0.1$



 $\sqrt{3q}\Gamma^{3/2}$

V_{proj}

3/2

An electromagnetically-driven shock tube is being developed to produce weakly-non-ideal plasma targets.

Discharge energy ≈ 0.1 kJ during $\approx 1 \ \mu s$:





Conditions to realize $\gamma > 0.1$ have been searched by adjusting different projectile-target parameters.

Projectile:

- Projectile (tentative): 12.5 keV/u ⁹¹Nb
- Effective charge $q \approx 4$
 - cf. averaged charge in cold H_2 gas ≈ 3

Plasma target:

- Beam-plasma coupling constant $\gamma > 0.1$ to observe nonlinear effects
- High ionization degree $\alpha >> 0.5$ to clearly observe plasma effects
- Target thickness > 5 mm to eliminate tube wall effects
- Energy loss ∠E/E < ≈ 0.2 to define interaction energy
- Compact size and low discharge energy for installation in the beam line



Goal of the R&D: Shock speed $u_s = 48$ km/s (Mach number $M \equiv u_s/c = 36$)



The shock velocity was measured by a fast photography with a streak camera.

Streak imaging of the shock front propagating in the tube: Slope



The shock front was clearly observed on the streak images:



So far M = 24 ($u_s = 32$ km/s) has been obtained for $p_1 = 5$ Torr at the interaction point.

 $V_{\rm d}$ = 20 kV

8

6

10

Measured shock speed at the interaction point (x = 4 cm):

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

2

4

 H_2 initial pressure p_1 (Torr)

Shock speed *u*_s (k<mark>m</mark>/s)

- Low initial pressure is favorable to reach higher shock speed
- $u_{\rm s}$ = 48 km/s (goal) is expected for discharge voltages of $V_{\rm d} \approx$ 40-50 kV.





Electron density of the plasma was determined by laser interferometry with two different wavelengths.

A Mach-Zehnder interferometer was integrated on the shock-tube base:



Spatial and temporal homogeneity was enough to perform the planned beam experiments.

- n_e behind (0.2 μs) the shock front (lateral spatial distribution):
 - So far $\approx 10^{17}$ cm⁻³ ($\alpha \approx 0.2$)
 - Much better homogeneity than laser plasmas
 - $-\phi$ 1 mm-beam can be used.

- n_e at the interaction point (temporal evolution at y = 0):
 - decreases due to recombination after passage of the shock.
 - \approx constant (?) for \approx 100 ns
 - Pulsed beams with duration
 ≈ 100 ns can be used.



To establish a well-defined target thickness, very small beam apertures are needed.

- Pressure requirements:
 - Initial pressure $p_1 = 5$ Torr
 - Beam line pressure $p_0 < 10^{-5}$ Torr

Low energy (keV/u) heavy projectiles:

- can stop even by 1 μm plastic film
 - .:. Windowless target
- Fast valve does not work!
- Differential pumping system with very small apertures:
 - Target thickness (≈ 1 cm) must be >> relaxation length δw.
 - $\delta w \approx$ aperture diameter D (?)
 - \therefore *D* must be < $\approx \phi 100 \ \mu m!$





A differentially-pumped gas cell with ϕ 100 μ m-apertures was employed for the test experiment with He.

Relationship between the tube pressure p_1 and the chamber pressure p_0 was investigated for different gas-flow rates.



Measured results were fairly-well reproduced by a simple calculation assuming molecular flow.

Experimental result using He gas:

- ϕ 100 μ m aperture < \approx mean free path of He gas molecules (\approx 150 μ m)
- Solid line: calculation using molecular-flow conductance of a "thin" small aperture





If the orifice diameter is further reduced, the beam line pressure can be as low as $\approx 10^{-5}$ Torr.

Beam line pressure p_0 expected for operations with H₂ gas:





Si surface-barrier detector (SSD) was used to measure very low intensity beams through very small apertures.

MCPs for TOF measurements:

- High time resolution (< ns)</p>
- Sensitive to "beam current", not to particle energy
- Single-particle detection efficiency < 100%
- Very sensitive to surface conditions
- Expensive





- Direct energy measurement by SSDs:
 - Energy-sensitive, single-particle detection
 - 100% detection efficiency
 - Much more robust than MCPs
 - Low time resolution ($\approx \mu s$)
 - Unable to use for high intensity beam





For time-resolved measurements, the SSD has to be used in combination with a fast beam deflector.

The beam deflector has to be synchronized to the shock wave:



Energy loss of each single projectile can be evaluated by measuring the height of each pulse from the detector.

■ SSD output waveforms for measurements with MylarTM foil targets:

- Projectile: 800 keV-protons through two apertures
- Very low intensity ($\approx 10^3$ ions/s) beam through the apertures
- Fast beam deflector not yet in operation



The statistical energy resolution is enough to evaluate the projectile energy loss.

Pulse height distribution for many shots (= energy spectrum):

- Beam current was kept as low as possible to prevent "pile up" of pulses.





The measured energy loss was in a good agreement with calculations using a Monte-Carlo code.

- Comparison between the experimental and calculated results:
 - Circles : measurement with SSD
 - Solid line : Monte-Carlo calculation using "SRIM2003"



Summary and outlook

Shock speed must be increased further by +50% ($M = 24 \rightarrow 36$):

- Higher discharge energy
- High-voltage switch with lower impedance
- Square tube → cylindrical tube to reduce friction losses (?)
- Differentially-pumped gas cell works well:
 - Aperture should be further reduced from $\phi 100 \ \mu m$ to $\phi 50 \ \mu m$.
 - Alignment of two apertures will be tough!
- Projectile energy loss was successfully measured by an SSD:
 - Low-intensity beams through small apertures can be measured.
 - Fast deflector is necessary for time resolved measurement. (R&D under way.)
 - Noise due to plasma emission (?)
- Atomic physics issues:
 - Projectile charge q (effective charge) \approx averaged charge?
 - Charge-changing reactions of slow ions in partially-ionized plasmas

